Organic & Biomolecular **Chemistry**

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, **9**, 243

Amino acids attached to 2¢**-amino-LNA: synthesis and excellent duplex stability†**

Marie W. Johannsen, Lia Crispino, Michael C. Wamberg, Neerja Kalra and Jesper Wengel*

Received 3rd August 2010, Accepted 21st September 2010 **DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00532k**

The synthesis of 2'-amino-LNA (the 2'-amino derivative of locked nucleic acid) has opened up a number of exciting possibilities with respect to modified nucleic acids. While maintaining the excellent duplex stability inferred by LNA-type oligonucleotides, the nitrogen in the 2'-position of 2¢-amino-LNA monomers provides an excellent handle for functionalisation. Herein, the synthesis of amino acid functionalised 2¢-amino-LNA derivatives is described. Following ON synthesis, a glycyl unit attached to the N2[']-position of 2'-amino-LNA monomers was further acylated with a variety of amino acids. On binding to DNA/RNA complements, the modified ONs induce a marked increase in thermal stability, which is particularly apparent in a buffer system with a low salt concentration. The increase in thermal stability is thought to be caused, at least in part, by decreased electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged phosphate backbones when positively charged amino acid residues are appended. Upon incorporation of more than one 2¢-amino-LNA modification, the effects are found to be nearly additive. For comparison, 2'-amino-LNA derivatives modified with uncharged groups have been synthesised and their effect on duplex thermal stability likewise investigated.

Introduction

In recent years, an abundance of modified oligonucleotides (ONs) have been presented. These contain modifications of the sugar ring and its substituents,**¹** the nucleobases**2,3** or the backbone.**⁴** Previous research in our group has focused on locked nucleic acid (LNA, Fig. 1). LNA is a nucleotide analogue where the sugar ring is constrained as part of a bicyclic system.**5,6** This locks the furanose in the C3¢-*endo* conformation, thus mimicking the conformation found in RNA. ONs modified with LNA nucleotides have a high affinity for both complementary DNA and RNA strands, as evidenced by increased duplex thermal denaturation temperatures. 2¢- Substituted analogues of LNA have been developed, including 2¢ thio-LNA and 2'-amino-LNA (Fig. 1).^{7,8} Unlike 2'-amino-DNA, which destabilises both DNA and RNA type helix formation,^{9,10} 2'amino-LNA has a higher affinity for complementary DNA/RNA strands than unmodified ONs, though 2'-amino-LNA is slightly less stabilising than LNA.**⁸**

Pharmacokinetic challenges, including delivery, potentially limit realisation of the many possible pharmacological uses of ONs.**11–13** Increasing the structural diversity of ONs is one possible way of achieving ONs with drug-like characteristics, and

Fig. 1 Structures of LNA and 2[']-amino LNA thymine monomers.

modification of the nucleotide building blocks may increase the stability towards nuclease degradation, affinity for complementary strands, potency and biodistribution of therapeutic ONs.**4,14–16**

A large area of research is the conjugation of various functional groups and molecules to ONs.**17,18** Recently, many conjugations have been performed using Huisgen–Sharpless–Meldal reactions (more commonly known as "click"-chemistry)**¹⁹** and other bioorthogonal chemical reactions. Conjugations can be carried out both during and after ON synthesis, and may take place at the 5'-end, 3'-end or internally in the ON.^{15,17,18} A major obstacle to delivery of ONs is cell penetration. Negatively charged proteoglycans on the cell membrane repel the polyanionic ONs, and the hydrophobic nature of the cell membrane hampers entry of hydrophilic ONs. Proposed solutions for this problem include neutral backbone ONs**²⁰** and conjugation to various delivery agents such as cholesterol**21,22** or cell penetrating peptides (CPPs).

Nucleic Acid Center, Department of Physics and Chemistry, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark. E-mail: jwe@ifk.sdu.dk; Fax: +45 6550 4385; Tel: +45 6550 2510

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: MALDI TOF mass spectrometry data for modified ONs, overview of yields for synthesis of modified ONs, ¹ H and 13C NMR spectra for compounds **2a–b**, 31P NMR spectra for compounds **3a–b**. See DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00532k

CPPs are short sequences of amino acids which, when conjugated to biopharmaceuticals, have been found to increase cellular uptake and biological activity of their cargo.**23–25**

It is intriguing that ONs with several positive amino acid residues (*e.g.* lysines) appended can have a neutral or positive net charge while maintaining water solubility. Several studies on cationic ONs, both with positively charged backbones and with positively charged groups attached have been reported.**15,26–28** Several ONs modified with cationic groups in the 2[']-position exhibit efficient binding affinity to target RNA, enhanced chemical stability and increased nuclease resistance.**²⁹**

In this paper, we present various structures based on a 2'amino-LNA/DNA mixmer scaffold. We have previously used LNA to counter the destabilising effect of the incorporation of 2¢-amino-DNA monomers with and without modifications of the 2¢-amino group.**10,30** The research described here instead focuses on conjugation of amino acids and peptides to the thermally stabilising 2'-amino-LNA monomer. The amino group of 2'amino-LNA nucleotides is an excellent site for functionalisation of ONs.**31–37** Conjugation to a 2¢-amino group is potentially more selective and easy than conjugation to a 2'-hydroxy group of RNA, and the nitrogen atom furthermore allows functionalisation even when the 2[']-nitrogen atom is partaking in the bicyclic ring skeleton of an LNA nucleotide.

We report here the design and synthesis of various $2'$ -amino-LNA phosphoramidites functionalised at the $N2'$ position by the amino acid glycine ("gly"), acetic acid ("acetyl"), and palmitic acid ("C16"), and incorporation of these into ONs. Conjugation of palmitic acid to ONs has previously been investigated with the intention of facilitating cellular uptake,**³⁸** but in the context of the research presented here, a palmitic acid residue is used as an uncharged substituent with an alkyl side chain.

A key aspect of the research reported herein is solid-phase conjugation of amino acids to ONs. Addition of multiple amino acids to the synthesised ONs was accomplished by solid phase coupling to 2¢-amino-LNA monomers already functionalised with one glycyl moiety. This conjugation approach is compatible with both automated phosphoramidite-based ON synthesis and fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) based peptide coupling chemistry, and therefore provides effective structural diversification while allowing straight-forward monitoring of reaction yields.

The modified ONs (Fig. 2) were hybridised to complementary DNA or RNA strands and thermal denaturation studies were carried out to assess the duplex-forming ability of the functionalised ONs.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

Synthesis of the 5[']-O-4,4[']-dimethoxytritylated 2[']-amino-LNAthymine nucleoside **1** was accomplished according to a literature procedure.³⁹ Selective N2'-acylation of nucleoside 1 was carried out in the presence of *O*-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-*N*,*N*,*N*¢,*N*¢ tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) as coupling reagent to furnish *N*-(Fmoc)glycyl derivative **2a**. The 2'-*N*palmitoyl derivative **2b** was obtained by reacting nucleoside **1** and palmitoyl chloride without a coupling reagent (Scheme 1).

Fig. 2 Structures of modified N2'-acyl 2'-amino-LNA monomers.

Scheme 1 (i) **2a**: *N*-(Fmoc)glycine, DMF, HATU, DIPEA, rt, 1 h, 76%; **2b**: palmitoyl chloride, CH₂Cl₂, pyridine, 0 °C, 2 h, 68%; (ii) 2-cyanoethyl- N , N , N' , N' -tetraisopropylphosphane, N , N -diisopropylammonium tetrazolide, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 12 h (3a: 94%, 3b: 61%). T = thymin-1-yl; $DMT = 4.4'$ -dimethoxytrityl.

The 3¢-hydroxy group of nucleoside derivatives **2a–2b** was phosphitylated using standard conditions to afford the corresponding phosphoramidite building blocks **3a–3b** that were used for automated solid-phase ON synthesis (Scheme 1). Synthesis of the *N*-acetyl derivative will be published elsewhere,**⁴⁰** whereas literature procedures were used to synthesise the *N*-trifluoroacetyl protected 2¢-amino LNA phosphoramidite.**⁸**

Table 1 Thermal stability studies, medium salt buffer

Thermal denaturation temperatures were measured using 1.0 µM of each strand of sequence 5'-GTG AXA TGC-3' and complementary DNA or RNA as shown, where **X** is the modified monomer. Likewise, 1.0 μ M of each strand of sequence 5'-G**X**G AXA **X**GC-3' and complementary DNA or RNA, in the case of those entries prefaced by "3 \times " (*e.g.* "3 \times acetyl"). All T_m values were measured in Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ buffer with 110 mM Na⁺ concentration at pH 7. The noted charge difference is relative to the DNA reference and anticipates protonated terminal amino groups of side chains.

Table 2 Thermal stability studies, low salt buffer

Thermal denaturation temperatures were measured using 1.0 μ M of each strand of sequence 5'-GTG AXA TGC-3' and complementary DNA or RNA, where **X** is the modified monomer. Likewise, 1.0 µM of each strand of sequence 5'-G**X**G AXA **X**GC-3' and complementary DNA or RNA, in the case of those entries prefaced by "3 \times " (*e.g.* "3 \times acetyl"). All T_m values were measured in Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ buffer with 10 mM Na⁺ concentration at pH 7. The noted charge difference is relative to the DNA reference, and anticipates protonated terminal amino groups of side chains.

Based on DMT cation measurement, ON synthesis proceeded in >90% stepwise coupling yields for modified amidites **3a–b**, and in >99% yields for unmodified DNA amidites. In the case of the ONs with the modifications " $(gly)_2$ ", " $(gly)_3$ ", " $(gly)_4$ ", "(gly)₅", "gly-pro", "gly-lys", "gly-gly-lys", and "gly-lys(lys)₂", further conjugation was carried out by solid-phase synthesis after completion of sequences containing a 2'-*N*-glycyl-2'-amino-LNA monomer ("gly", see Fig. 2) (*vide infra*). In the case of the remaining ONs, where no further modifications were required,‡ the ONs were released from the support and purified. The purity of the ONs were determined by ion exchange HPLC (>80%), and their identity confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (for ON sequences, see captions of Tables 1 and 2). For further synthetic details, see experimental section and ESI.†

 \ddagger "2'-amino-LNA", "acetyl", "gly", "3 \times 2'-amino-LNA", "3 \times acetyl", $4.3 \times$ gly", "C16" and "3 \times C16"

Scheme 2 (i) 20% v/v DEA in MeCN, 10 mL, 5 min, rt, (ii) 20% v/v piperidine in DMF, 1.0 mL, rt, 20 min, (iii) *N*-(Fmoc)-amino acid, DMF, HATU, DIPEA, rt, 3 h, (iv) 28–30% NH3 in water, 1 mL, 55 *◦*C, 12 h.

Solid-phase conjugation

For further acylation of an ON containing a 2'-*N*-glycyl 2'amino-LNA monomer incorporated in the middle of a DNA nonamer, the Fmoc-protected glycyl-modified ON was kept on the resin, the amino group of the glycyl moiety deprotected, and then reacted further using standard Fmoc chemistry (Scheme 2, example).

The 2-cyanoethyl phosphate triester is not stable to Fmocdeprotection conditions**29,41** and was deprotected selectively using diethyl amine (DEA) prior to Fmoc-removal. This eliminates the risk of conjugate addition of the unprotected amino acid amine groups to the acrylonitrile released from phosphate deprotection. Subsequent peptide couplings furnished ONs conjugated with di-, tri-, tetra- or pentapeptides at the N2'-atom of the internally positioned 2¢-amino-LNA monomer.

It is possible to monitor the efficiency of the coupling process by measuring the absorbance, and thus the concentration, of the *N*-(9-fluorenylmethyl)piperidine released upon deprotection of Fmoc-protected amino acids using piperidine.**42,43** Most individual peptide couplings proceed in good yields and the overall synthesis yields were estimated to be 5–83%. There is trend that the more solid-phase reactions have to be carried out, the lower the overall yield, and further that the oligonucleotides with charged modifications are isolated in lower yield that those with uncharged modifications (a detailed breakdown of individual yields for different ONs can be found in the ESI). The theoretical loading of the resin used for ON synthesis was used as a starting point and the optical density of the purified ON as an endpoint (see experimental section and ESI for further details).†

Thermal stability studies

We determined the thermal denaturation temperatures (T_m values) of modified nonamer ONs with both DNA and RNA complementary strands in sodium phosphate buffers with concentrations of Na⁺ of 10 mM (low salt buffer) and 110 mM (medium salt buffer). The results of the thermal stability studies are summarised in Tables 1–4.

Medium salt buffer – 110 mM Na+ concentration (Table 1). For DNA:DNA duplexes, both the nonamers modified in the

Modification X	DNA reference $T_{\rm m}/\rm{^{\circ}C}$	$2 \times$ LNA $T_{\rm m}/\rm{^{\circ}C}$	$2 \times 2'$ -amino-LNA $T_{\rm m}/\rm{^{\circ}C}$	$2 \times$ gly $T_{\rm m}/\rm{^{\circ}C}$
DNA reference	30	$41 (+6)$	$38 (+4)$	$45 (+8)$
$3 \times$ LNA	$45 (+5)$	$62 (+6)$		
$1 \times 2'$ -amino-LNA	$36 (+6)$		$45 (+5)$	
$3 \times 2'$ -amino-LNA	41 $(+4)$		$55 (+5)$	
$1 \times$ gly	$38 (+8)$			$50 (+7)$
$3 \times$ gly	$47 (+6)$			$58 (+6)$

 T_m values measured in Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ buffer with 110 mM Na⁺ concentration at pH 7 using 1.0 µM of each single strand. Numbers in brackets denote change in T_m value per modification relative to the DNA:DNA reference duplex

 T_m values measured in Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ buffer with 10 mM Na⁺ concentration at pH 7 using 1.0 μ M of each single strand. Numbers in brackets denote change in T_m value per modification relative to the DNA:DNA reference duplex

central position with unconjugated 2'-amino-LNA-T and those with acetylated 2'-nitrogen have ΔT_m values of +6 °C (ΔT_m values are the differences in T_m values between modified duplexes and the unmodified reference duplexes). ONs containing the parent unconjugated 2¢-amino-LNA-T monomer are not expected to be protonated to any significant extent at pH 7 as the 2¢-amino group of the 2'-amino-LNA-T nucleoside has a pK_a value of 6.17.⁴⁴ While the pK_a values of the conjugated amino acid ONs have not been determined experimentally, the terminal amino groups are assumed to be positively charged at pH 7 as the pK_a values of unconjugated amino acid amino groups are >9 for all amino acids used in this study.

The ON modified with a glycyl monomer at the 2'-nitrogen ("gly") (ΔT_m = +8 °C) is slightly more stable than unconjugated 2'-amino-LNA (ΔT_m = +6 °C). Attaching further glycyl groups by solid-phase conjugation to make di- or tripeptides $("(\text{gly})_2"$ and " $(g|y)$ ["],") does not alter the melting temperature. For the tetraand pentapeptides there is a slight decrease in T_m , but further studies are required to determine whether this trend will continue for longer peptide chains.

Steric factors do not appear to greatly influence the stabilisation, since conjugation of the cyclic amino acid proline as the second amino acid ("gly-pro") has the same effect as glycine (" $(gly)_{2}$ "). Stabilisation does appear to be charge-dependent, as conjugation of a single lysine residue ("gly-lys") raises the ΔT_m to +9 °C, and adding a lysine branch ("gly-lys(lys)₂", four protonated amino groups) gives a ΔT_m value of +11 °C.

For comparison, a 2'-amino-LNA monomer was conjugated with palmitic acid at the 2'-nitrogen ("C16"). The C16 and acetyl modifications are comparable in length to the modifications " (gly) ₅" and "gly", respectively. The palmitoyl residue especially is obviously significantly more lipophilic and does not offer stabilisation through electrostatic interactions or extensive hydration effects.

For the palmitic acid modification ("C16"), the T_m value was 1 *◦*C higher with a DNA complementary strand *versus* the unmodified DNA/DNA duplex. Conjugation of 2'-amino-LNA monomers with a palmitic acid residue thus lead to significantly less stabilisation than obtained by unconjugated 2'-amino-LNA or amino acid modified 2¢-amino-LNA monomers.

Upon incorporation of three 2'-amino-LNA monomers in the sequence 5'-GXG AXA XGC-3' (entries "3 \times 2'-amino-LNA", " $3 \times$ acetyl" and " $3 \times$ gly" in Tables 1 and 2), the affinity effects are nearly additive as will be discussed later. When three palmitoylconjugated monomers are incorporated into the nonamer (" $3 \times$ C16"), no duplex formation can be seen.

When measuring the thermal stability of duplexes with an RNA complementary strand, very similar results are seen. The relative stabilisation incurred by modification with positively charged residues is a bit larger, "gly"-"(gly)₃" has ΔT _m values of +10 °C, and " $(gly)_4$ " even shows a ΔT_m value of +11 °C. Here, the slightly lower stabilisation seen for the longer peptide chains in DNA:DNA duplexes is not apparent except for the pentaglycylated duplex ("(gly)₅") which has a ΔT_m value of +8 °C. As for the DNA:DNA duplexes, no steric influence is seen with conjugation of proline, but unlike those, the lysine-modifed ONs show no particular increase in stability compared to the singly charged amino acids. For the modification with four potentially protonated amino groups ("gly-lys(lys)₂"), the ΔT_{m} value is +12 *◦*C which is only 1 *◦*C higher than the highest melting temperature for a singly charged modification, and "gly-lys", with two positive charges, is no different from "gly". The acetyl modification is under these conditions as stable as the charged modifications. The palmitic acid modification shows a ΔT_{m} value of +6 *◦*C compared to the unmodified DNA reference duplex, again a destabilisation compared to unconjugated 2¢-amino-LNA and the charged modifications. For ONs with three modified monomers incorporated, the duplex formed between RNA and the strand with three acetyl-modified 2'-amino-LNA monomers (" $3 \times$ acetyl") is more stable, by 2 \degree C, than the glycyl duplex (" $3 \times$ gly") making this the most stable of all duplexes, indicating that favourable hydration or steric orientation of the acyl group may be more important than electrostatic effects under these conditions. The ON with three palmitoyl-modifications does form a duplex with RNA, but it is severely destabilised.

The relatively more pronounced stabilising effect with an RNA counter strand is not unexpected, since a similar trend has been observed for LNA modified ONs.**⁵** This RNA-stabilising effect does not appear to be amplified by the electrostatic stabilisation from multiple positive charges.

Measurements have also been carried out in a low salt buffer $(10 \text{ mM } Na⁺)$. These measurements do show very similar, but much more pronounced trends compared to the measurements in the medium salt buffer. For duplexes with a DNA counter strand, unconjugated ("2'-amino-LNA") and acetylated ("acetyl") 2'amino-LNA-T modifications stabilise the duplex by +6 *◦*C. In comparison, the singly charged modifications show ΔT_{m} values of +9–10 *◦*C, with no decrease in stabilisation even with longer chains (" $(gly)_4$ " and " $(gly)_5$ ") or with a cyclic amino acid ("glypro"). The charge dependence is evident with the modification "gly-lys" giving a D*T* ^m value of +13 *◦*C and the branched lysine chain "gly-lys(lys)₂" giving a ΔT_m value of +17 °C. This is a melting temperature 12 *◦*C higher than seen for the duplex with unmodified 2¢-amino-LNA. Although the effect of the multiply charged lysines is once again stronger with a DNA than with an RNA counter strand, the increased stabilisation and charge dependence seen in the low salt buffer supports the hypothesis that stabilisation is facilitated by electrostatic interactions between the positively charged residues and the negatively charged ON backbone. Such an effect is expected to be stronger in the low salt buffer where the concentration of positive counter ions is lowered.

Again, multiple modifications are near additive. A slight decrease in the ΔT_{m} per modification is seen when more modified nucleotides are incorporated. This effect is strongest for duplexes with a DNA complement, especially for the modification "gly". When three palmitoyl residues are incorporated (" $3 \times C16$ "), no melting transition is seen with a DNA complementary strand. While a duplex does form with an RNA complementary strand, no significant stabilisation is seen compared to an unmodified DNA ON. In comparison to unconjugated 2'-amino-LNA, the palmitoyl residues have a destabilising effect.

It is clear from the measurements described above that N2[']acylation of 2¢-amino-LNA monomers is an excellent choice for appending amino acids and oligopeptides to ONs. When comparing the effects of the palmitoyl unit with those of the pentaglycyl units, it is clear that hydrophobicity of the conjugated moiety can be unfavorable for duplex stability, an effect which may originate from differences in hydration of the appended side chains.

Modifications in both strands. Binding studies have also been carried out to determine the influence of one or more modified monomers in both strands. Five different duplex types were

investigated (Fig. 3), namely duplexes with a single incorporated modification paired with an unmodified strand (type A), with two modifications paired with an unmodified strand (type B), with three modifications paired with an unmodified strand (type C), with a single modification across from a strand with two modifications (type D), and with three modifications across from two modifications (type E). The results are summarised in Tables 1 and 2 for duplex types A and C, while comparison with the remaining duplex types is shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Fig. 3 Duplex types measured for thermal stability. Sequences of single strands are 5¢-G**X**G A**X**A **X**GC-3¢ and the complementary $5'$ -GCA XAX CAC-3^{\prime} where $X = DNA$ -T, LNA-T, 2 \prime -amino-LNA-T or 2¢-*N*-glycylamino-LNA-T (these monomers are shown as droplets).

This study is relevant for several applications. Thus, while antisense applications only require one modified strand in a duplex, aptamers and siRNA constructs may benefit from modifications on both strands, *e.g.* to stabilise basepairing regions such as stems.

Melting studies were carried out first in a medium salt buffer (Table 3). For all modifications, the stabilisation per modification is close to additive, with only a slight decrease when multiple modifications are present in each strand/duplex. In general, glycyl-modified monomers generate the highest relative thermal stability. The differences in stabilisation between the acylated and unconjugated 2¢-amino-LNA are not great, but they are consistent across the various duplex types. Furthermore, the increase in stabilisation is sufficiently large for the charged modification ("gly") to surpass LNA in stabilisation for duplex types B and C.

The thermal stability of duplexes with modifications in both strands (Fig. 3) has also been studied in a low salt buffer as summarised in Table 4. Here, ΔT_{m} values per modification are increased 1–2 *◦*C for duplexes incorporating the charged glycyl amino group compared to the medium salt buffer. In comparison, the uncharged modifications ("LNA" and "2'-amino-LNA") show the exact same ΔT_{m} per modification as in the medium salt buffer.

Mismatch discrimination studies. Thermal denaturation studies of selected modified ONs with one and three modifications have been carried out in medium salt buffer (Table 5). These show satisfactory discrimination of mismatches in the complementary strand. ONs modified with glycyl ("gly") generally show mismatch discrimination that is as good as or better than for LNA-modified ONs. Both these modifications are significantly more sensitive to mismatches than unmodified DNA ONs. The palmitoyl modified ONs are at least as good as unmodified DNA, but not consistently improved for all types of mismatches like the "gly" and "LNA" modifications. As mismatched duplexes would likely be too unstable in a low salt buffer for melting transitions to be observed,

Table 5 Mismatch discrimination studies

 T_m values for matched and mismatched duplexes were measured in Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ buffer at pH 7 (110 mM Na⁺) using 1.0 µmol of each ON strand. Due to different instrumentation used, there are minor differences in the T_m values reported for some matched duplexes above and in Tables 1 and 3. The ON " $3 \times C16$ " is not reported due to the previously mentioned inability to form duplexes with complementary DNA.

mismatch discrimination was studied solely in the medium salt buffer.

Experimental section

General

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have synthesised phosphoramidite derivatives of a 2'-amino-LNA N2'-acylated with glycine. N-Glycylated 2'amino-LNA oligonucleotides were further modified by solid phase conjugation to yield di-, tri-, tetra- and pentapeptide modifications as well as an oligonucleotide with a lysine-branched chain. When incorporated into a DNA strand, these amino acid and peptide modifications increase stability of duplexes formed with both DNA and RNA complements. The stabilising effect in general becomes more profound when thermal denaturation studies are carried out in a buffer with low counterion concentration. A duplex containing 2'-amino-LNA monomer(s) modified with positively charged amino acids is more stable than DNA/DNA or DNA/RNA duplexes *and* more stable than the corresponding duplexes with unconjugated 2'-amino-LNA monomer(s) incorporated. The stabilising effect was found to be nearly additive for multiple incorporations of modified monomers in one or both strands.

Furthermore, oligonucleotides containing 2'-amino-LNA monomers N-acylated with palmitic or acetic acid were synthesised. Unlike the amino acid modifications, only negligible changes in thermal stability were observed for these modifications when the counterion concentration of the buffer was decreased. We propose that the stabilising influence of the amino acid-modified monomers is due to an electrostatic effect. The positively charged protonated amino groups of one strand may interact with the negatively charged phosphate backbone of the same strand, decreasing the effective charge of that strand and thus the repulsive electrostatic interaction with the negatively charged complementary strand. It is also possible that positively charged amino groups of one strand interact with negatively charged phosphate groups on the complementary strand, creating an attractive electrostatic interaction.

N2'-Acylated 2'-amino-LNA monomers are appealing as constituents of biologically active ONs, *e.g.* antisense, aptamer or siRNA constructs, as they offer an opportunity of increasing molecular diversity and modulating physicochemical properties while preserving high-affinity DNA and RNA-binding.

All reagents were used as purchased without further purification. Reactions (except solid-phase conjugation of amino acids) were conducted under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Petroleum ether, used for column chromatography, was of distillation range 60– 80 *◦*C. For column chromatography, silica gel 60 (0.040–0.063 mm) from Merck was used. Progress in reactions was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on analytical silica gel TLC plates (60 F_{254}) from Merck with UV-light used for visualisation. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 2000 NMR spectrometer. The δ values are in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard for ¹ H NMR, deuterated solvent CDCl₃ (δ 77.00) for ¹³C NMR, and relative to 85% H₃PO₄ as external standard for ³¹P NMR. Assignments of NMR spectra, when given, are based on 2D spectra and follow the standard carbohydrate/nucleoside nomenclature (the carbon atom of the $C4'$ -substituent is numbered $C5'$). The assignments of methylene protons, when given, may be interchanged. Coupling constants (*J*-values) are given in Hertz. The NMR spectra of the Nacylated 2¢-amino-LNA nucleosides revealed two conformations of the amide bond (rotamers). High resolution MALDI spectra were recorded in positive ion mode on a Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometer (IonSpec, Irvine, CA). For accurate ion mass determinations, the $[M + Na]$ ⁺ ion was peak matched using ions derived from the 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid matrix. Electrospray mass spectrometry was performed using a Q-Star Pulsar Mass Spectrometer (Sciex/Applied Biosystems) equipped with a nano electrospray source. The instrument was externally calibrated in the relevant range by observing cluster ions $(NaI)_nNa⁺$. Samples were dissolved to a concentration of approximately $10 \mu M$ in methanol and sprayed from metal coated borosilicate emitters.

(1*R***,3***R***,4***R***,7***S***)-1-(4,4**¢**-Dimethoxytrityloxymethyl)-5-[***N***-(fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonyl)glycyl]-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2-oxa-5 azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (2a)**

Fmoc-gly-OH (312 mg, 1.05 mmol, 1.2 eq), DMF (10 mL) and HATU (400 mg, 1.05 mmol, 1.2 eq) were mixed, DIPEA (0.275 mL, 1.58 mmol, 1.8 eq) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. A solution

of nucleoside **1** (500 mg, 0.88 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for a further 60 min at which point it was deemed complete by TLC. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (300 mL), washed with H₂O (2 \times 450 mL), 5% NaHCO₃ (2×450 mL), and finally H₂O (2×450 mL). The aqueous phases were back-extracted in portions with ethyl acetate (300 mL in total). The combined organic phase was dried (MgSO4), filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to yield the crude product as a pale yellow foam (667 mg). The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica with $60-100\%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether, the relevant fractions combined and the eluent removed *in vacuo* to yield a rotameric mixture (~0.66 : 0.33 by ¹ H NMR) of nucleoside **2a** as a pale yellow foam $(567 \text{ mg}, 76\%)$. δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃, Me₄Si) (subscript A = major rotamer, subscript $B =$ minor rotamer; integrals are shown only for dominating rotamer) 9.83 (1H, br s, NH_A), 9.43 (br s, NH_B), 7.70–7.12 (17H, m, Ar), 7.59 (1H, s, 6-H_A), 7.57 (s, 6-H_B), 6.80– 6.76 (4H, m, Ar), 5.95 (1H, t, 3'-OH), 5.50 (s, H-1'_B), 5.44 (1H, s, $H-1'_{A}$, 5.16 (s, $H-2'_{B}$), 4.73 (1H, s, $H-2'_{A}$), 4.38 (1H, s, H-3'), 4.26– 4.08 (5H, m, H-5', H-5', CH-Fmoc, CH₂-Fmoc), 3.71 (6H, s, 2 \times OCH₃), 3.61–3.45 (4H, m, CH₂, H5', H-5'), 1.97 (s, 5-Me_B), 1.58 (3H, s, 5-Me_A); δ_c (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 168.0, 164.5, 158.6, 157.0, 150.7, 144.5, 144.4, 143.9, 143.7, 141.2, 135.5, 135.4, 135.3, 135.2, 134.6, 130.1, 130.0, 129.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.1, 125.2, 119.9, 113.4, 113.2, 110.7, 88.7, 88.0, 87.1, 86.8, 86.7, 70.1, 69.1, 67.4, 67.3, 63.0, 59.2, 55.2, 51.6, 47.1, 46.9, 43.1, 42.9, 12.7, 12.6; HR ESI MS m/z 873.3070 ([M + Na]⁺, C₄₉H₄₆N₄O₁₀Na⁺ calcd 873.3106).

(1*R***,3***R***,4***R***,7***S***)-1-(4,4**¢**-Dimethoxytrityloxymethyl)-5- (hexadecanoyl)-7-hydroxy-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2-oxa-5 azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (2b)**

Nucleoside **1** (1.51 g, 2.64 mmol) was co-evaporated with anhydrous toluene $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and subsequently dissolved in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL). Anhydrous pyridine (1.0 mL, 12.4 mmol, 4.7 equiv.) was added, the solution was cooled to 0 *◦*C, and palmitoyl chloride (0.75 g, 2.73 mmol, 1.02 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 *◦*C for 2 h, whereupon it was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL) and washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2×25 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO4) and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using MeOH/pyridine/CH₂Cl₂ (4:1:95, $v/v/v$) as eluent to afford a rotameric mixture $(-0.45:0.55$ by ¹H NMR) of nucleoside **2b** as a white solid (1.45 g, 68%). R_f 0.52 (MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ 8:92); δ_H $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCI}_3, \text{Me}_4\text{Si})$ (subscript A = major rotamer, subscript $B =$ minor rotamer; integrals are shown only for major rotamer) 9.86 (br s, NH_B), 9.44 (1H, br s, NH_A), 7.66 (1H, s, 6-H_A), 7.60 (s, 6-H_B), 7.49-7.20 (9H, m, Ar), 6.84 (4H, m, Ar), 5.53 (s, H- $1'_B$), 5.44 (1H, s, H-1'_A), 5.20 (s, H-3'_B), 4.53 (1H, s, H-3'_A), 4.37 $(H, s, H-2_A'), 4.30 (s, H-2_B'), 3.78 (6H, s, 2 \times OCH₃), 3.82-3.62$ (4H, m, H-5', H-5'), 2.50-2.37, 2.17-2.13 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.61 (3H, $2 \times s$, 5-Me_{A+B}), 1.65–1.50 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.24–1.22 (24H, m, CH₂), 0.87 (3H, t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, Me); δ_c (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.5, 173.2, 164.5, 164.2, 158.7, 158.6, 150.1, 149.7, 144.4, 144.3, 135.5, 135.3, 135.2, 134.7, 130.1, 130.1, 130.0, 128.1, 128.0, 127.1, 127.0, 113.3, 113.2, 110.5, 109.9, 88.7, 88.0, 86.9, 86.8, 86.7, 70.2, 68.9, 63.6, 59.1, 59.6, 55.2, 34.2, 33.9, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 25.0, 24.6,

22.7, 14.1, 12.5, 12.4; HR MALDI MS *m*/*z* 832.4475 ([M + Na]+, $C_{48}H_{63}N_3O_8Na^+$ calcd 832.4507).

(1*R***,3***R***,4***R***,7***S***)-7-[2-Cyanoethoxy(diisopropylamino) phosphinoxy]-1-(4,4**¢**-dimethoxytrityloxymethyl)-5-[***N***-(fluoren-9 ylmethoxycarbonyl)glycyl]-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2-oxa-5 azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (3a)**

Nucleoside **2a** (517 mg, 0.61 mmol) was co-evaporated with anhydrous dichloroethane. Diisopropylammonium tetrazolide (209 mg, 1.22 mmol, 2 eq.) was added and the solids dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (7 mL). 2-Cyanoethyl- N, N, N', N' tetraisopropylphosphane (388 µL, 1.22 mmol, 2.0 eq) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (2.5 mL) , washed with NaHCO₃ (20 mL), and the aqueous phase was back-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic phase was dried (Na2SO4), filtered, and the eluent removed *in vacuo*, yielding a pale yellow foam (crude yield 866 mg). The foam was dissolved in the minimal amount of ethyl acetate (2 mL) and added dropwise to cold petroleum ether (200 mL, app. 0 *◦*C). The precipitating mixture was stirred for 10 min, the resulting cream-coloured solid filtered off and dried under vacuum overnight. The mother liquor was concentrated *in vacuo*, the crystallization procedure repeated, and following TLC analysis, the crystallization products were combined (601 mg, 94%). $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz, CDCl3) 150.0, 149.7, 149.4, 148.3; HR ESI MS *m*/*z* 1051.4390 ([M + H]⁺, $C_{58}H_{64}N_6O_{11}P^+$ calcd 1051.4365).

(1*R***,3***R***,4***R***,7***S***)-7-(2-Cyanoethoxy(diisopropylamino) phosphinoxy)-1-(4,4**¢**-dimethoxytrityloxymethyl)-5- (hexadecanoyl)-3-(thymin-1-yl)-2-oxa-5-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (3b)**

Nucleoside **2b** (1.423 g, 1.76 mmol) and diisopropylammonium tetrazolide (0.453 g, 2.65 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) were mixed and dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL). 2-Cyanoethyl-*N*,*N*,*N'*,*N'*tetraisopropylphosphane (1.060 g, 3.52 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. It was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL), washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (2×25 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using toluene–EtOH/Et₃N $(91:7:2, v/v/v)$ as eluent to afford the amidite **3b** as offwhite foam (1.089 g, 61%). R_f 0.43 (EtOH–toluene 1:10); δ_P (121.5 MHz, CDCl3) 149.8, 149.3, 148.8, 147.3; HR ESI MS *m*/*z* 1032.5501 ($[M + Na]^+, C_{57}H_{80}N_5O_9PNa^+$ calcd 1032.5586).

Oligonucleotide synthesis and purification

The oligonucleotides were synthesised in the DMT-ON mode on an automated DNA synthesizer using an Expedite™ Nucleic Acid Synthesis System model 8909 from Applied Biosystems. Synthesis was carried out in $0.2-1.0$ µmol scale using polystyrene resin purchased from Glen Research. Phosphoramidites **3a–b** were dissolved in acetonitrile as a 0.05 M solution and coupled using tetrazole as an activator. The coupling time was 15–20 min for amidites **3a–b**, while commercial DNA phosphoramidites were subjected to 2 min coupling time. After completion of the coupling steps, the cyanoethyl protecting groups were removed from the

phosphate backbone (20% v/v diethylamine in acetonitrile, 10 mL, 5 min, rt). The resin was washed with acetonitrile $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried briefly. Oligomers needing no further modification were deprotected and cleaved from the resin using standard conditions (28–30% aqueous ammonia, 1 mL, 12 h, 55 *◦*C) and purified by reversed phase HPLC on a Waters 600 system (with Waters 2996 PDA detector) equipped with a Waters XterraTM MS C18 10 μ m 7.8×150 mm column using a 38 min linear gradient of 0–53% MeCN in 0.05 M triethyl ammonium acetate (pH 7.4) at a flow rate of 2.50 mL min-¹ . The purified oligonucleotides were subjected to standard detritylation and then precipitated from ethanol ("C16", " $3 \times C16$ ") or acetone (all others). The ONs were >80% pure by ion-exchange HPLC (Merck Hitachi D-7000 LaChrom Interface (with L-7400 UV detector) equipped with a Gen-Pak Fax $4.6 \times$ 100 mm column). The composition of the oligonucleotides was verified by MALDI-TOF MS, which was recorded on a Bruker Daltonics Microflex LT using a 3-hydroxy picolinic acid matrix.†

General procedure for solid-phase modification of ONs

The 2'-amino group of the 2'-*N*-glycyl-2'-amino-LNA monomer in the oligomer was deprotected (20% v/v piperidine in DMF, 1.0 mL, 20 min, rt). The liquid phase was removed from the resin and its absorbance measured at 301 nm (100 μ L in 900 μ L DMF, zeroing the instrument on DMF). The resin was washed successively with DMF (2×1.0 mL) and ethanol (2×1.0 mL). Fmocprotected amino acid (30 equivalents), HATU (30 equivalents) and DIPEA (60 equivalents) were mixed in anhydrous DMF (500 μ L) and added to the resin. The resulting suspension was shaken gently for 3 h at rt. The liquid phase was removed and the solid support washed with anhydrous DMF (4×0.5 mL) and ethanol ($2 \times$ 1.0 mL). If required, the procedure was repeated for stepwise addition of further amino acids. When synthesis was complete, the oligomer was cleaved from the resin and deprotected as described above. Purification was carried out either as described above or by simple detritylation and precipitation from acetone or ethanol without HPLC purification when the purity of the crude oligomer was sufficiently high $(>80\%$ by ion-exchange HPLC analysis). By measurement of the absorbance of the Fmoc deprotection product $(\varepsilon_{301 \text{ nm}} = 7800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$,⁴³ the solid phase acylation reactions were determined to proceed in good individual yields (data not shown). The overall yield of all modified oligonucleotides was calculated from their UV absorbance at 260 nm $(OD₂₆₀)$. The final yields were 5–32% for post-synthetically conjugated oligos, and 8–83% for ONs with no further conjugation after automated ON synthesis.†

Thermal denaturation experiments

Thermal denaturation studies were carried out on a Varian Cary 100 Bio UV-Visible spectrophotometer with a Peltier controlled 6×6 sample changer and Cary WinUV software. Melting temperatures $(T_m$ values) were measured as the maximum of the first derivative of the melting curve $(A_{260}$ *vs.* temperature). Concentrations and buffers as described in the captions for Tables 1 and 2. For the medium salt buffer, temperature was increased from 8 to 80 *◦*C; increase 1 *◦*C min-¹ . For the low salt buffer, temperature was increased from 6 to 65 *◦*C; increase 1 *◦*C min-¹ . T_m values were determined in two independent experiments, consistent within 1 \degree C, and ΔT_m values were calculated per modification relative to the T_m values of the reference duplexes. Mismatch discrimination data were obtained on a Perkin Elmer UV-visible spectrometer Lambda 20 equipped with a PTP-6 Peltier Temperature Programmer.

Acknowledgements

The Danish National Research Foundation is thanked for funding the Nucleic Acid Center, a research center for studies on nucleic acid chemical biology. This work was furthermore supported by the Sixth Framework Programme Marie Curie Host Fellowship for Early Stage Research Training under contract number MEST-CT-2004-504018.

Notes and references

- 1 C. Wilson and A. D. Keefe, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2006, **10**, 607–614.
- 2 E. T. Kool, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2002, **35**, 936–943.
- 3 J. N. Wilson and E. T. Kool, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 4265– 4274.
- 4 J. H. Chan, S. Lim and W. S. Wong, *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.*, 2006, **33**, 533–540.
- 5 A. A. Koshkin, S. K. Singh, P. Nielsen, V. K. Rajwanshi, R. Kumar, M. Meldgaard, C. E. Olsen and J. Wengel, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 3607– 3630.
- 6 S. Obika, D. Nanbu, Y. Hari, J. Andoh, K. Morio, T. Doi and T. Imanishi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 5401–5404.
- 7 S. K. Singh, R. Kumar and J. Wengel, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 6078– 6079.
- 8 S. K. Singh, R. Kumar and J. Wengel, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 10035– 10039.
- 9 H. Aurup, T. Tuschl, F. Benseler, J. Ludwig and F. Eckstein, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1994, **22**, 20–24.
- 10 N. Kalra, M. C. Parlato, V. S. Parmar and J. Wengel, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2006, **16**, 3166–3169.
- 11 J. Kurreck, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 1378–1398.
- 12 N. Kumar, A. Patowary, S. Sivasubbu, M. Petersen and S. Maiti, *Biochemistry*, 2008, **47**, 13179–13188.
- 13 G. Mayer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2672–2689.
- 14 E. W. M. Ng, D. T. Shima, P. Calias, E. T. Cunningham Jr., D. R. Guyer and A. P. Adamis, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2006, **5**, 123–132.
- 15 R. Noir, M. Kotera, B. Pons, J. S. Remy and J. P. Behr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13500–13505.
- 16 N. M. Bell and J. Micklefield, *ChemBioChem*, 2009, **10**, 2691– 2703.
- 17 S. H. Weisbrod and A. Marx, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5675–5685.
- 18 H. Lönnberg, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2009, 20, 1065-1094.
- 19 M. Meldal and C. W. Tornøe, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2952–3015.
- 20 A. de Mesmaeker, K. H. Altmann, A. Waldner and S. Wendeborn, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 1995, **5**, 343–355.
- 21 T. Bryld and C. Lomholt, *Nucleosides, Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*, 2007, **26**, 1645–1647.
- 22 M. Manoharan, K. L. Tivel, T. P. Condon, L. K. Andrade, I. Barber-Peoch, G. Inamati, S. Shah, V. Mohan, M. J. Graham, C. F. Bennett, S. T. Crooke and P. D. Cook, *Nucleosides, Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*, 1997, **16**, 1129–1138.
- 23 F. Said Hassane, A. F. Saleh, R. Abes, M. J. Gait and B. Lebleu, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2010, **67**, 715–726.
- 24 M. Antopolsky, E. Azhayeva, U. Tengvall, S. Auriola, I. Jääskeläinen, S. Rönkkö, P. Honkakoski, A. Urtti, H. Lönnberg and A. Azhayev, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 1999, **10**, 598–606.
- 25 F. Debart, S. Abes, G. Deglane, H. M. Moulton, P. Clair, M. J. Gait, J.-J. Vasseur and B. Lebleu, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **7**, 727– 737.
- 26 R. L. Letsinger, C. N. Singman, G. Histand and M. Salunkhe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 4470–4471.
- 27 M. Manoharan, K. L. Tivel, L. K. Andrade and P. D. Cook, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 3647–3650.
- 28 S. Chaturvedi, T. Horn and R. L. Letsinger, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1996, **24**, 2318–2323.
- 29 R. H. Griffey, B. P. Monia, L. L. Cummins, S. Freier, M. J. Greig, C. J. Guinosso, E. Lesnik, S. M. Manalili, V. Mohan, S. Owens, B. R. Ross, H. Sasmor, E. Wancewicz, K. Weiler, P. D. Wheeler and P. D. Cook, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1996, **39**, 5100–5109.
- 30 N. Kalra, B. R. Babu, V. S. Parmar and J. Wengel, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, **2**, 2885–2887.
- 31 M. D. Sørensen, M. Petersen and J. Wengel, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 2130–2131.
- 32 T. Umemoto, J. Wengel and A. S. Madsen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 1793–1797.
- 33 M. Kalek, A. S. Madsen and J. Wengel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 9392–9400.
- 34 T. Umemoto, P. J. Hrdlicka, B. R. Babu and J. Wengel, *ChemBioChem*, 2007, **8**, 2240–2248.
- 35 D. Lindegaard, A. S. Madsen, I. V. Astakhova, A. D. Malakhov, B. R. Babu, V. A. Korshun and J. Wengel, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **16**, 94–99.
- 36 P. J. Hrdlicka, B. R. Babu, M. D. Sørensen and J. Wengel, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 1478–1479.
- 37 P. J. Hrdlicka, B. R. Babu, M. D. Sørensen, N. Harrit and J. Wengel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 13293–13299.
- 38 N. N. Polushin and J. S. Cohen, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1994, **22**, 5492–5496.
- 39 C. Rosenbohm, S. M. Christensen, M. D. Sørensen, D. S. Pedersen, L.-E. Larsen, J. Wengel and T. Koch, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2003, **1**, 655–663.
- 40 B. R. Babu, M. C. Wamberg, J. Maity, T. B. Jensen, M. D. Sørensen, I. V. Astakhova, A. D. Malakhov, T. S. Kumar, D. Lindegaard, N. Kalra, V. A. Korshun, P. J. Hrdlicka, A. K. Prasad, V. S. Parmar and J. Wengel, manuscript in preparation.
- 41 J. Nielsen and K. D. Janda, *Methods*, 1994, **6**, 361–371.
- 42 L. A. Carpino and G. Y. Han, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1972, **37**, 3404–3409.
- 43 S. K. Shannon and G. Barany, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 4586–4594.
- 44 O. Plashkevych, S. Chatterjee, D. Honcharenko, W. Pathmasiri and J. Chattopadhyaya, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 4716–4726.